

DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY

As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that:

My residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below next to my name. I believe I am the original, first and sole inventor (if only one name is listed below) or an original, first and joint inventor (if plural names are listed below) of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled Composite Scaffolding Plank and Method of Forming Same, the specification of which

and Method of Forming Same, the specificati	on of which
(check: X is attached hereto. one) was filed on	as Application Serial No and was
I hereby state that I have reviewed and understa specification, including the claims, as amended	
I acknowledge the duty to disclose information application in accordance with Title 37, Code of	
foreign applications(s) for patent or inventor's conternational application(s) designating at least America listed below and have also identified beinventor's certificate or any PCT international another than the United States of America filed bedate before that of the application on which principles.	one country other than the United States of below any foreign application for patent or application(s) designating at least one country y me on the same subject matter having a filing ority is claimed: NONE.
I hereby claim the benefit under Title 35, Unite provisional application(s) listed below: See Pr	
I hereby claim the benefit under Title 35, Unite application(s) listed below and, insofar as the s	• •
CERTIFICATION OF MA	ILING UNDER 37 C.F.R. 1.10
	ited with the United States Postal Service on the date shown because ": Malling Label Number EE 397 622 335 US addressed , D.C. 20231.
Date: 3-29 (7)	I & J
	gistration No. 44,545

application is not disclosed in the prior United States application in the manner provided by the first paragraph of Title 35. United States Code § 112, I acknowledge the duty to disclose material information as defined in the Title 37. Code of Federal Regulations, § 1.56(a) which occurred between the filing date of the prior application and the international filing date of this application: This application is a continuation-in-part and claims the benefit of U.S. Continuation-in-part Patent Application Number 09/320,221, filed by Honein on May 26, 1999, which itself claims the benefit of U.S. Patent Application Number 09/739,799 filed by Honein on October 30, 1996, which itself claims the benefit of U.S. Provisional Application Number 60/005,774 filed by Honein on October 31, 1995.

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

As a named inventor, I hereby appoint the following attorney and/or agent to prosecute this application and transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith.

Kenneth A. Keeling, Registration No. 31,842 James E. Boice, Registration No. 44,545

Send correspondence to: KEELING LAW FIRM 901 North Post Oak Road Houston, Texas 77024-3845

Telephone (713) 680-1447; Facsimile (713) 680-8567

Full Name of Inventor	First Name, Middle Initial, Last Name Joseph Honeln	
Residence & Citizenship	City, State, Country of Citizenship Houston, Texas, U.S.A.	
Post Office Address	Post Office Address, City, State or Country, Zip 11040 Jones Road West, Houston, Texas, 77065	

SIGNATURE: _____ DATE: 3 - 28-7

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: Joseph Honein

ş 8

Serial Number: 09/537,606

Art Unit: 3634

Filed: 03/09/00

Examiner: A. Chin Shue

For: Composite Scaffolding Plank and Method of Forming Same

DECLARATION OF CARL COOK UNDER 37 C.F.R. SECTION 1.132

I, Carl Cook, declare and say:

That I am a citizen of the United States and I reside at 13918 East Cypress Forest, Houston, Texas;

That I graduated from IFF -EET and CBS-BT;

That I am the President and owner of Indian Mill and Lumber, Inc. ("IML") located at 11107 Jones Road, West; Houston, Texas, 77065-3616;

That IML is considered one of the leaders in the scaffold plank industry;

That I have been involved in the lumber and scaffold plank industry for 5 years;

That I am familiar with the above-identified patent application Serial No. 09/320,221 and with the following references identified by the Examiner: U.S. Patent Application No. 3,144,892 issued to Webster, U.S. Patent Application No. 3,099,301 issued to Bennett and Danish Patent 84807 issued to Larsen;

That Webster does not teach a scaffold plank or a structure that could be used as a scaffold plank. The Webster structure could not safely support a person thereon without breaking. By aligning boards such that their wide sides interface, Webster teaches the construction of a plank that would be 3½" thick using nominal 2"x4" boards, which would render it too heavy to safety carry or manipulate. Thus, the Webster structure is useless as a scaffold plank;

That, in a like manner Bennett, which describes compression of boards to correct defects in warped boards, teaches away from the present invention. Bennett's invention contemplates separating boards after they have been straightened, while the present invention is designed to keep boards permanently attached to create a scaffolding plank;

That Larsen teaches planking that requires exact spacing of wooden crossbeams, which is not an industry standard in the U.S. Furthermore, the planks taught by Larsen would not be in field compliance with OSHA Standard 29 CFR 1926.451's requirements for scaffold plank securement;

That, to my knowledge, the Applicant is the first to successfully pin wooden boards together transversely to their smallest dimension without splitting any of the wooden boards, and those in the planking industry actively posed the position that such pinning was technically impossible;

That IML has experienced exceptional commercial success in selling the pinned scaffold plank. In 1996, pinned scaffold plank sales were de minimus and accounted for less than 1% of the total OSHA approved scaffold plank sold in the U.S. In 1997, sales of pinned scaffold plank was \$600,000, accounting for 2% of the total sales of OSHA approved scaffold plank sold in the U.S. that year. In 1998, sales of the pinned scaffold plank reached \$1.4 million, accounting for 5% of all OSHA approved scaffold plank sold in the U.S. that year. In 1999, sales of pinned scaffold plank reached over \$1.6 million, accounting for 7% of all OSHA approved scaffold plank sold in the U.S. Projected sales for pinned scaffold planking in 2000 is over \$2.0 million, accounting for 9% of all OSHA approved scaffold plank sold in the U.S. These figures demonstrate that the pinned scaffold plank has had a substantial market share increase each year since its introduction to the marketplace in late 1996;

That, as of September 1999, there are in excess of 350,000 pinned scaffold plank units in use worldwide;

That IML continuously visits industrial plants to market the pinned scaffold plank. Normally, IML requests that the workers in such plants select the strongest scaffolds in their current stock. IML then tests the pinned scaffold plank against the current stock scaffold. The pinned scaffold plank invariably outperforms and is stronger than the current stock scaffold;

That I have observed that the pinned scaffold plank resists cupping in the planks, said cupping being common and hazardous in solid wooden planks, and thus poses a slipping hazard to workers from accumulated water and ice on the plank;

That based on its performance, the pinned scaffold plank has been selected by a number of such plants as the only allowable scaffold plank that may be purchased at such plant;

That the State of California Occupational Safety and Health Administration, a state worker safety rule and enforcement agency whose requirements typically exceed those of the U. S. Department of Labor OSHA, has approved the pinned scaffold plank for scaffolding use;

That, due to its commercial success and performance, the pinned scaffold plank is now accepted in the industry. Renowned industry organizations, such as the Carpenter's Union, the Scaffold Industry Association, and Masonry Construction Magazine, now refer to three types of scaffold planks: the solid planks, the laminated planks, and the pinned planks;

That the undersigned declares further that all statements made herein of his own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true, and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patents issuing thereon;

Further declarant saith not.

Date: 8-8-00

Carl Cook, Declarant

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: Joseph Honein

§

Serial Number: 09/537,606

Art Unit: 3634

Filed: 03/29/00

8888 Examiner: A. Chin Shue

For: Composite Scaffolding Plank and Method of Forming Same

DECLARATION OF JOSEPH HONEIN UNDER 37 C.F.R. SECTION 1.132

I, Joseph Honein, declare and say:

That I am a citizen of the United States and I reside in Houston, Texas;

That I am the inventor in the above-identified patent application;

That I graduated from CBI Inspection and Testing in Texas with a Level II degree;

That I have been involved in the scaffolding plank industry since 1962;

That I am familiar with the above-identified patent application Serial No. 09/320,221 and with the following references identified by the Examiner: U.S. Patent No. 3,144,892 issued to Webster, U.S. Patent No. 3,099,301 issued to Bennett and Danish Patent 84807 issued to Larsen;

That Webster does not teach a scaffold plank, and in particular does not teach the composite scaffolding plank and method of my application. Webster teaches wood panels and a method for making the same. From Webster's Figure 1, it is clear that a substantial number of rows of the Webster structure consist of more than one board. If this structure is used as a scaffold plank, the structure would likely break at least along the junction between the different boards in each row. It is clear to me that the structure of Webster's Figure 1 could not serve as a scaffold plank under the current OSHA regulations. I would not look to Webster for guidance in constructing a scaffold plank that meets OSHA regulations. I also do not consider Webster to be related to the scaffolding industry;

That Webster's Figure 1 shows a threaded dowel being inserted transversely to the longitudinal axis of the boards and also parallel to the sides of the boards, with the height of the board sides being the smallest dimension of the board. Importantly and contrary to Webster's teaching, the claimed invention teaches the insertion of a helical pin transversely to the longitudinal axis of the boards and transversely to the sides of the boards, with the height of the board sides being the smallest dimension of the board.

That Larsen teaches planking that requires exact spacing of wooden crossbeams, which is not an industry standard in the U.S. Furthermore, the planks taught by Larsen would not be in field compliance with OSHA Standard 29 CFR 1926.451's requirements for scaffold plank securement;

That prior and subsequent to my conception and reduction to practice of the present invention, I have never seen nor heard of anyone in the industry using Bennett's board straightening technique or Larsen's scaffolding planking system;

That, to my knowledge, I am the first to successfully pin wooden boards together transversely to their smallest dimension without splitting any of the wooden boards;

As background, prior to my invention, two types of scaffold planks existed in the prior art: the solid single board plank and the laminated plank. The solid single board plank comprises one wide wooden board. The laminated plank comprises multiple layers of wooden plyes glued together;

Each of the two types of prior art scaffold planks have their drawbacks. Due to the limited resources of old growth forests and the harvesting schemes for new growth timber, the yield of wooden boards wide enough from which to construct a solid single board plank is decreasing. Typically, only the center portion of a large tree is sufficiently broad to produce a solid single board plank. Thus, with a decreasing yield, the solid single board planks are becoming more costly and difficult to make. The main drawback of laminated planks is due to the fact that laminated planks primarily consist of glued layers of wooden plyes. Such glued layers of wooden plyes absorb substantial amounts of moisture. After absorbing enough moisture, the components of the laminated plank pulps and the plank can no longer be used as a scaffold. Likewise, any time a laminated plank breaks, it looses its strength and consistency and can also no longer be used as a scaffold;

That I decided to conceive a means to build a scaffold plank that was as strong and as durable as a solid single board plank, that did not require the use of wider trees, and that did not have the weaknesses inherent in laminated planks;

That, after much experimentation, I constructed a machine that successfully pins multiple wooden boards together. The machine pins narrower wooden boards together in a direction normal to their longitudes and in a direction normal to the smallest dimension of he wooden boards. The machine thus enables the production of scaffold planks that were comprised of a multiple number of narrower wooden boards, that did not require the use of wider trees, and that did not have the weaknesses inherent in laminated planks;

That, at the time of my invention, many people in the industry were certain that attempting to pin wooden boards transversely through their smallest dimension would result in the splitting of the wooden boards;

That, although I expected my plank to function, the exceptional performance of the pinned scaffold plank was unexpected, particularly in comparison to other prior art planks. My pinned scaffold plank is as strong, if not stronger, than commonly used prior art single-board solid scaffolds. At least three unexpected results were achieved by the pinned scaffold plank and its method of production. First, the pinned scaffold plank is more rigid than a solid single board plank, yet the pinned scaffold plank can withstand more flexural and horizontal shear stress than the solid single board plank before breaking. Second, the spaced apart pinning of the wooden boards creates a sharing of load (on the plank) which negates the weak spots (knots) found in the wooden-boards. This load sharing increases the overall strength of the plank up to 20%. Third, pinning multiple wooden boards together creates a safety factor that is unique to the pinned scaffold plank. When the pinned scaffold plank is overstressed to the point of failure, only one of the wooden boards will normally break. The worker standing on the pinned scaffold plank can hear and see the single wooden board breaking, allowing the worker enough time to get to safety. Prior art scaffold planks do not have this safety factor. When a prior art scaffold plank breaks, the failure is typically sudden putting the life of the worker in danger;

That the undersigned declares further that all statements made herein of his own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patents issuing thereon;

Further declarant saith not.

Date: 8-13-00

Joseph Honein, Inventor and Declarant

	1		
Please type a plus sig	n (+) ins	is box→ +]

PTO/SB/81 (11-96)

Approved for use through 6/30/99. OMB 0651-0035

Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

POWER OF ATTORNEY OR AUTHORIZATION OF AGENT, NOT ACCOMPANYING **APPLICATION**

Application Number	09/537,606				
Filing Date	03/29/00				
First Named Inventor	Joseph Honein				
Group Art Unit	3634				
Examiner Name	A. Chin-Shue				
Attorney Docket Number	IM 1725 DIV				

I hereby app	point:			۲		7		
OR		Customer Number			Place Customer Number Bar Code Label here			
		Name		Registrati	ion Number			
K	Kenneth H. Johnson			22,966				
						(
					-			
	as my/our attorney(s) or agent(s) to prosecute the application identified above, and to transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith.							
Please change the correspondence address for the above-identified application to: The above-mentioned Customer Number. OR								
X Firm or Individual	Name	Kenneth H. Johnson						
Address	THE THE PARTY CONTRACTOR OF TH							
Address								
City		Houston	State	TX	ZIP 77263			
Country		U.S.A.						
Telephone		713-780-7047	Fax	713-78	30-7671			
I am the: Applicant. Assignee of record of the entire interest								
Certificate under 37 CFR 3.73(b) is enclosed								
		SIGNATURE of Applicant or Assig	nee of	Record				
	· · · · · · ·							
Name	Jose	eph Honein						
Name Signature	Jose		-1					

Burden Hour Statement: This form is estimated to take 0.2 hours to complete. Time will vary depending upon the needs of the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you are required to complete this form should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, Patent and Tracemark Office. Washington. DC 20231. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Assistant Commissioner for Patents. Washington, DC 20231.

PTO/SB/82 (11-96)

Approviouse through 6/30/99. OMB 0651-0035

Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays

a valid OMB control number.

REVOCATION OF POWER OF ATTORNEY OR AUTHORIZATION OF AGENT

Application Number	09/537,606			
Filing Date	03/29/00			
First Named Inventor	Joseph Honein			
Group Art Unit	3634			
Examiner Name	A Chin Shue			
Attorney Docket Number	IM 1725 DIV			

	I hereby revoke all previous powers of attorney or authorizations of agent given in the above-identified application:						
-1	A Power of Attorney or Authorization of Agent is submitted herewith. OR Please change the correspondence address for the above-identified application to: Place Customer Number Bar Code						
17. 17.	. OR					Label he	ere
Customer Number OR X Firm or Individual Name Kenneth H. Johnson Address P.O. Box 630708 Address							
 J	Address	30708					
i	Address						
ال	City Houston						
£	Country	U.S.A.		State	TX	ZIP	77263
	Telephone 713-780-7047 F		Fax 713-780-7671				
He Bad and Tour Ran	I am the: X Applicant. Assignee of record of the entire interest Certificate under 37 CFR 3.73(b) is enclosed						
	SIGNATURE of Applicant or Assignee of Record						
	Name J	oseph Honein					
	Signature	I A Van					
	Date	5-23-01					

Burden Hour Statement: This form is estimated to take 0.2 hours to complete. Time will vary depending upon the needs of the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you are required to complete this form should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, Patent and Trademark Office, Washington, DC 20231. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, DC 20231.